

	Report Summary Information
	Name ShotSpotter
	Respondent Asja Turner-Steeves
	Date Completed
	Report Question Responses
1	Change in Authorized Use Cases
1.1	In the last year, did your department have use cases which differed from your "approved use cases" in your BOS-approved policy?
	Response No
2	Change in Authorized Job Titles
2.1	Does the list of "authorized job titles" in your BOS-approved policy need to change? (i.e. Do you need additional job titles to be authorized to access the data, or do you need to remove any current job titles?)
	Response No
4	Replacement of Old Technology
4.1	Response  No
5	Addition of New Technology
5.1	Has any technology been added which is not listed in the policy?  *Response**  No
6	Ceased Operation of Technology
6.1	Is any technology listed in the policy no longer in use?  *Response**  No
7	Services or Equipment Sources
7.1	List any and all entities, companies or individuals which provide services or equipment to the department which are essential to the functioning or effectiveness of the Surveillance Technology (list "N/A" if not applicable):
	Response Shotspotter, Inc.

8 Surveillance Technology Goals



#### Response



8.2 In 3-5 sentences, please explain how the technology has or has not been effective

Provide quantitative data to support your response. This should include crime statistics for the radius where the technology operates if that was a motivating factor in acquiring the surveillance technology.

#### Response

The technology helps the San Francisco Police Department locate gunshots fired resulting in faster response by officers. in 2021, There were 1,997 recorded alerts published.

Zones
Bayview: 617
Visitation: 404
Western: 293
Mission: 215
Potrero: 156
SoMa: 141
Bernal: 96
SanJoseAve: 75

# 9 Data Sharing

 $9.1\,$  Has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department?

#### Response



9.2 Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county government?

### Response



9 4 Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?

# Response



9.5 List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was disclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.

### Response

Northern California Regional Intelligence Center (NCRIC). They are a CGIC Partner.

## 10 Accidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data

10.1 Did your department inadvertently or unintentionally receive, retain, access or use any information obtained from Face Recognition Technology?

### Response



## 11 Complaints



11.1	Has your department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveillance technology?
	Response No
12)	Violations
12.1	Were there any violations of the Surveillance Technology Policy or Surveillance Impact Report, reported through community members, non-privileged internal audits, or through other means in th last year?
	Response No
12.4	Has your department conducted any internal audits of the technology?
	Response  (No)
13)	Statistics and Information about Public Records Act Requests
13.1	Has your department received any public records act requests for this surveillance technology?  **Response**  No
14	Total Annual Costs for the Surveillance Technology
14.1	List the number of FTE (new & existing).
	Response 0
14.2	Are there one-time costs for Fiscal Year 2022-23?
	Response  (No)
14.15	Are there annual costs for Fiscal Year 2022-2023:
	Response  (Yes)
14.16	Are there annual Salary and Fringe costs?
	Response No
14.18	Are there annual Software costs?
	Response

Yes



14.19	List total annual Software costs for FY 2022-2023:  Response  \$550,896
14.20	Are there annual Hardware/ Equipment costs?  **Response**  No.**
14.22	Are there annual Professional Services costs?  **Response**  No
14.24	Are there annual Training costs?  Response  No
14.26	Are there annual "Other" costs?  Response  No
14.28	What source of funding will fund the Surveillance Technology for FY 2022-2023?  Response  General Fund
14.29	Have there been any changes to the one-time costs from your department's approved Surveillance Impact Report?
14.31	Have there been any changes to the annual costs from your department's approved Surveillance Impact Report?  **Response**  (Yes)
14.32	Why have the annual costs changed?  Response  There was a nominal 2% increase built in to the ShotSpotter contract that was not captured in the Impact Report
15) A	nnual Inventory Check
15.1	Note:

In 2019, all departments were asked to compile a list of surveillance technologies which their department uses. Since then, departments have been asked to contact COIT about new technologies for a surveillance technology review via the Surveillance Technology Ordinance Form in ServiceNow. Please feel free to reference the current Surveillance Technology Inventory for your department to help you answer the following questions.



 $15.2\,$  Is the Surveillance Technology Inventory for your department current and accurate? Response



15.9 You have completed the Annual Surveillance Report:

Congratulations and see you next year!