THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTER 96A.3 REPORT 3rd Quarter: July 1, 2017 to September 30, 2017

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

As part of the national conversation on police reform, including accountability and transparency in law enforcement, accurate data collection has taken center stage. In the forefront is whether specific identifying characteristics (i.e., race/ethnicity, gender, or age) play a role in the outcome of encounters between law enforcement officers and members of the public, especially as it relates to the level of force used, the rate of arrest, and/or the propensity to search an individual.

In order to evaluate the effectiveness of the reforms undertaken by the San Francisco Police Department (the Department), and more importantly, to ensure procedural justice is evenly applied throughout all neighborhoods within our city, the Department is dedicating resources to re-evaluate the data collection process in place for collecting data as required by legislation, (California AB 953 and San Francisco Administrative Code 96A). It is important to the Department that the information collected is properly reported; therefore, these reports will continue to evolve as the technology is streamlined in our efforts to provide clear and concise data.

The Department has continued its efforts to rebuild the community's trust in a variety of ways, including training all sworn members in fair and impartial policing strategies, focusing on procedural justice and implicit bias. Coupled with the updated training in use of force principles that emphasize proportionality and the Crisis Intervention Team (CIT) philosophy, officers are being equipped with the tools and knowledge needed to assess and de-escalate situations with the goal of preserving life.

Detailed reports are generated and forwarded to the Chief of Police, Assistant Chiefs, and Deputy Chiefs for review. Commanding officers review the reports with district captains and unit supervisors as a means to monitor and identify concerns immediately. As required under Administrative Code 96A.3, Law Enforcement Reporting Requirements, the Department is submitting this report for the third quarter of 2017 (July, Aug, Sept). This report contains information relating to Encounters, Arrests, Use of Force, and Complaints, including the following information:

Sec. 96A.3.

(a) For Encounters:

- (1) The total number;
- (2) The total number broken down by race or ethnicity, age, and sex;
- (3) The total number of searches performed broken down by race or ethnicity, age, and sex;
- (4) The total number of each type of search performed;

(5) For each type of search performed, the total number broken down by race or ethnicity, age, and sex;

(6) The total number of each type of disposition, and the total number for each disposition broken down by race or ethnicity, age, and sex; and

(7) The data for Encounters required to be reported by this subsection (a) shall be reported separately for Detentions and Traffic Stops;

- (b) Use of Force:
 - (1) The total number of Uses of Force;
 - (2) The total number of Uses of Force that resulted in death to the person on whom an Officer used force; and
 - (3) The total number of Uses of Force broken down by race or ethnicity, age, and sex.
- (c) Arrests:
 - (1) The total number; and
 - (2) The total number broken down by race or ethnicity, age, and sex;
- (d) Reason for Encounters.
- (f) Department of Police Accountability data on alleged bias related complaints.

This quarterly report will be available to the public on the Department's website as part of an ongoing commitment to transparency. Once the process is fully automated, the datasets used to generate the reports will be published alongside the report to provide the information in a searchable format.

SEC. 96A.3 (a) - ENCOUNTERS

On January 3, 2017, the Department implemented a new contact data collection program, eStop, to be used by officers to record relevant information gathered during encounters, whether self-initiated or dispatched. The eStop application used to collect the data during an encounter is available via Department-issued mobile devices.

Definitions:

Encounter: The definition for encounter per Chapter 96A specifically states, "A detention or traffic stop where the officer initiates activity based solely on the officer's own observations or the observations and direction of another officer, rather than on information provided by dispatch or reported by a member of the public, i.e., self-initiated stops."

In order to comply with state law, (AB 953), the Department collects data for **all** encounters; both self-initiated and dispatched. However, the information is reported separately in order to meet the requirements of Chapter 96A. This Executive Summary only contains information on 'self-initiated' encounters and traffic stops conducted by officers as required by Chapter 96A.

For the entire report including 'dispatched' encounters and traffic stops, please refer to the attached report.

Detention: An interaction between an officer(s) and an individual(s) in which the officer(s) detains the individual(s).

Traffic Stop: An interaction between an officer(s) and an individual(s) driving a vehicle, in which the officer conducts a stop of the vehicle. When enforcing traffic laws during a traffic stop, the Department uses the definition of a vehicle to include motor vehicles and bicycles. Information on passengers of vehicles who are detained during a traffic stop is included under this section.

SEC. 96A.3 (b) - USE OF FORCE

The Department continues to focus on training its officers on the importance of the proportionality of the use of force (using only that force which is reasonable to perform one's duties), as well as effective communication and de-escalation techniques with an emphasis on safeguarding the sanctity of life, dignity, and liberty of all persons.

The Department has expanded its commitment to the Crisis Intervention Team (CIT) concept, and as of October 2017 has trained 792 sworn and 19 non-sworn personnel, as well as four clinicians from the Department of Public Health in the updated training curriculum. Included in this number are probationary and veteran officers, as well as members of the command staff. As the CIT program moves forward, the goal of the Department is to provide this 40-hour training to all members, including recruit police officers after graduation from the Academy. The program focuses on a team deployment concept throughout all districts and instills the importance of the guardian mentality during public contacts.

Following the creation and implementation in January 2017 of Department General Order 5.21, the Crisis Intervention Team Response to Person in Crisis Calls for Service, the Department continues to work in close partnership with City agencies and community stakeholders in the development of the CIT training program, including the National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI), The Mayors Office on Disability Counsel, San Francisco Mental Health Association, the Homeless Coalition, District Attorney's Witness and Victim Program, and the San Francisco Public Defender's Office among other advocates and associations. The CIT policy can be viewed on our website at http://sanfranciscopolice.org/dgo.

In addition, in February 2017, officers began training in the CIT/Threat Assessment/Deescalation and Use of Force Field Tactics classes which trains officers on the elements contained in the updated Department General Order, 5.01, Use of Force. Currently 1050 officers and one civilian have participated in this 20-hour course with the goal of training all members by the end of the year. In an effort to ensure a strong partnership with the Department of Public Health, we have trained the Crisis Intervention Specialists (Clinical Psychologists) who work with the Department.

The Department and the Department of Public Health (DPH) entered into an agreement to provide support to officers in the field who are responding to crises in which behavioral health concerns may be present. The DPH Behavioral Crisis Intervention Specialist Team was established as a result of an initiative from the Mayor's office. This collaboration currently is in the observation and training period as the two agencies coordinate the efforts, logistics, and protocols of deployment of the specialists to provide on-scene support during crisis situations. DPH clinicians have responded to at least seven incidents involving a person in a behavioral crisis resulting in a critical incident deployment. A program has been initiated with DPH clinicians and CIT Unit officers walking the mid-Market Street area, UN Plaza, and Union Square areas twice a week connecting the homeless population to services and treatment. The Department also continues to focus on the high-end users of psychological and medical services to reduce recidivism. The Department has also created a monthly multi-disciplinary forensic public safety meeting where the CIT Coordinator presents cases to DPH on persons who pose a safety threat to themselves and/or the community.

Policy:

The use of force by members is regulated through policies established according to local, state, and federal mandates. Department General Order 5.01, Use of Force, was approved by the Police Commission on December 21, 2016. The complete policy is available on our website at http://sanfranciscopolice.org/dgo.

Circumstances where use of force may be necessary:

The use of force must be for a lawful purpose. Officers may only use reasonable force options in the performance of their duties in the following circumstances:

- To effect a lawful arrest, detention, or search.
- To overcome resistance or to prevent escape.
- To prevent the commission of a public offense.
- In defense of others or in self-defense.
- To gain compliance with a lawful order.
- To prevent a person from injuring himself/herself. However, an officer is prohibited from using lethal force against a person who presents only a danger to himself/herself and does not pose an immediate threat of death or serious bodily injury to another person or officer.

Levels of Force:

Officers shall strive to use the minimum amount of force necessary to accomplish their lawful purpose.

- *A. Low Level Force.* The level of control necessary to interact with a subject who is or displaying passive or active resistance. This level of force is not intended to and has a low probability of causing injury.
- **B.** *Intermediate Force*. This level of force poses a foreseeable risk of significant injury or harm, but is neither likely nor intended to cause death. Intermediate force will typically only be acceptable when officers are confronted with active resistance and a threat to the safety of officers or others. Case law decisions have specifically identified and established that certain force options such as OC spray, impact projectiles, K-9 bites, and baton strikes are classified as intermediate force likely to result in significant injury.

C. Deadly Force. Any use of force substantially likely to cause serious bodily injury or death, including but not limited to the discharge of a firearm, the use of an impact weapon under some circumstances, other techniques or equipment, and certain interventions to stop a subject's vehicle, such as vehicle deflections.

Force Options:

The force options authorized by the Department are physical controls, personal body weapons, chemical agents, impact weapons, extended range impact weapons, vehicle interventions, K-9 bites and firearms. These are the force options available to officers, but officers are not required to use these force options based on a continuum. While deploying a particular force option and when feasible, officers shall continually evaluate whether the force option may be discontinued while still achieving the arrest or lawful objective.

The following tools and techniques are not in a particular order nor are they all inclusive.

- Verbal Commands/Instructions/Command Presence
- Control Holds/Takedowns
- Impact Weapons
- Chemical Agents (Pepper Spray, OC, etc.)
- K-9 (Dog) Bite
- Vehicle Intervention (Deflection)
- Personal Body Weapons.
- Firearms
- Impact Projectile

Documenting the Use of Force:

Members are required by policy to immediately notify supervisors following a use of force incident, which is then documented and evaluated by the supervisor. Use of force reporting and evaluation forms have been redesigned to include all the elements and data fields required by state and local legislation. These forms must be submitted by the end of watch following a use of force incident.

Staff assigned to the Risk Management Office (RMO) are responsible for tracking and maintaining all data relating to use of force incidents. They continue to review data by district stations and specialized units. RMO, which includes the Internal Affairs Division and the Early Intervention System Unit (EIS), collects and analyzes the use of force data, i.e., under what circumstance it was used, type/level of force, and subject/ officer demographics which is available on our website at: <u>http://sanfranciscopolice.org/early-intervention-system</u>

At the Chief's direction, work continues on developing a program which will expand on existing processes to audit station captains monthly on their unit's performance, use of force, stops, and other metrics with the intent of providing comprehensive and concise data in a timely manner.

The Department is currently looking to partner with a research/academic institution to perform in-depth analysis of the data.

2017 THIRD QUARTER DATA SUMMARY AT A GLANCE;

- Calls for Service: 193,735
- Calls resulting in Use of Force: 297 (0.15% of Calls for Service)
- Suspects Observed and Reported to SFPD: 9,275
- Total Encounters: 41, 213
 - Total Detentions: 16, 351
 - Total Traffic Stops: 24, 862
- Total Searches: 9,514 (23% of Total Encounters)
- Total Uses of Force: 622
- Total Arrests: 5,526
- Department of Police Accountability bias related complaints received: 7
- Police Department bias related complaints received: 3

TOTAL CALLS FOR SERVICE (July 1 – September 30 2017):

Calls for Service						
July 1 - September 30, 2017						
	July August September Total		Total - Q3			
2017	63,712	65,326	64,697	193,735		

SEC. 96A.3 (a) (1) TOTAL ENCOUNTERS (Detentions and Traffic Stops)

OTAL ENCOUNTERS 41,213 Total Encounters						
July 1 - September 30, 2017						
Encounters Description	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total	% of Total Encounters	
Detentions - Self-Initiated Activity	2,264	2,663	2,748	7,675	18.6%	
Detentions - Dispatched Call	2,776	2,978	2,922	8,676	21.1%	
Total Detentions	5,040	5,641	5,670	16,351	39.7 %	
Traffic Stops - Self-Initiated Activity	8,036	8,179	7,401	23,616	57.3%	
Traffic Stops - Dispatched Call	388	452	406	1,246	3.0%	
Total Traffic Stops	8,424	8,631	7,807	24,862	60.3 %	
Grand Total	13,464	14,272	13,477	41,213	100%	

*7% of the 24,682 Traffic Stops were vehicle passenger detentions.

SEC. 96A.3 (a) (4) TOTAL SEARCHES (Detentions and Traffic Stops)

Officers conduct a search for several reasons including officer safety. Of 41,231 Encounters this quarter, 42% of pedestrian Detentions and 11% of Traffic Stops resulted in a search.

FOTAL SEARCHES 9,514 Total Searches							
July 1 - September 30, 2017							
Total Search by Self-Initated and Dispatched for					% of Total		
Detentions and Traffic Stops	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	TOTAL	Search		
Total Searches by Self-Initiated Detentions	708	825	842	2,375	25%		
Total Searches by Dispatched Detentions	1,465	1,556	1,496	4,517	47%		
Total Searches for Detentions	2,173	2,381	2,338	6,892	72%		
Total Searches by Self-Initiated Traffic Stops	700	710	742	2,152	23%		
Total Searches by Dispatched Traffic Stops	146	186	138	470	5%		
Total Searches for Traffic Stops	846	896	880	2,622	28%		
Grand Total	3,019	3,277	3,218	9,514	100%		

DESCRIPTION OF SUSPECTS OBSERVED AND REPORTED TO POLICE;

The following table represents suspect descriptions provided by members of the public when requesting police assistance via the Department of Emergency (DEM) dispatch. It also includes information/descriptions provided by victims and/or witnesses directly to officers during a call for service, as well as suspect information directly observed by officers who witnesses a crime in progress. This information is gathered during the call directly from the reporting party, entered by the dispatcher, and relayed to responding officers.

SUSPECTS by Race/Ethnicity	SUSPECTS by Race/Ethnicity 9,275 Suspects								
July 1 - September 30, 2017									
DESCRIPTION	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	% of Total Suspects				
Asian or Pacific Islander	126	127	123	376	4.1%				
Black	1,349	1,341	1,286	3,976	42.9%				
Hispanic or Latin	413	473	413	1,299	14.0%				
Native American	12	8	6	26	0.3%				
White	550	606	631	1,787	19.3%				
Others	672	576	563	1,811	19.5%				
Total	3,122	3,131	3,022	9,275	100.0%				

The following two tables show percentages by race for self-initiated detentions, traffic stops, searches, suspects observed/reported to SFPD, and arrests for the third quarter of 2017.

RACE*	SELF INITIATED DETENTIONS	S. I. DETENTIONS SEARCHED	OBSERVED & REPORTED SUSPECTS	ARRESTS
Asian	5%	5%	4%	5%
Black	37%	37%	43%	39%
Hispanic	21%	20%	14%	22%
Native American	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	NA
White	33%	33%	19%	24%
Others	4%	5%	20%	10%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%

RACE*	SELF INITIATED TRAFFIC STOPS	S. I. TRAFFIC STOPS SEARCHED	OBSERVED & REPORTED SUSPECTS	ARRESTS
Asian	16%	6%	4%	5%
Black	19%	33%	43%	39%
Hispanic	15%	18%	14%	22%
Native American	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%	NA
White	33%	36%	19%	24%
Others	17%	7%	20%	10%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%

SEC. 96A.3 (a) (2) TOTAL ENCOUNTERS by Race/Ethnicity;

DETENTIONS by Race/Ethnicity - Self-Initiated							
July 1 - September 30, 2017							
RACE	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	% of Total		
Asian or Pacific Islander	75	107	121	303	3.9%		
Black	737	854	803	2,394	31.2%		
Hispanic	323	361	407	1,091	14.2%		
Native American	3	12	10	25	0.3%		
White	1,029	1,203	1,243	3,475	45.3%		
Unknown	97	126	164	387	5.0%		
Total	2,264	2,663	2,748	7,675	100.0%		

TRAFFIC STOPS by Race/Ethnicity - Self-Initiated

July 1 - September 30, 2017							
RACE	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	% of Total		
Asian or Pacific Islander	1,307	1,332	1,214	3,853	16.3%		
Black	1,558	1,515	1,514	4,587	19.4%		
Hispanic	1,175	1,241	1,154	3,570	15.1%		
Native American	17	24	18	59	0.2%		
White	2,772	2,734	2,376	7,882	33.4%		
Unknown	1,207	1,333	1,125	3,665	15.5%		
Total	8,036	8,179	7,401	23,616	100.0%		

Note: Totals may not add up exactly due to rounding.

SEC. 96A.3 (a) (2) TOTAL ENCOUNTERS By Age;

DETENTIONS by Age - Self-Initiated								
July 1 - September 30, 2017								
AGE	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	% of Total			
Under 18	61	56	41	158	2.1%			
18-29	669	830	806	2,305	30.0%			
30-39	624	730	748	2,102	27.4%			
40-49	403	451	566	1,420	18.5%			
50-59	345	423	413	1,181	15.4%			
60+	162	173	174	509	6.6%			
Total	2,264	2,663	2,748	7,675	100.0%			

TRAFFIC STOPS by Age - Self-Initiated							
July 1 - September 30, 2017							
AGE	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	% of Total		
Under 18	62	65	55	182	0.8%		
18-29	2,534	2,565	2,445	7,544	31.9%		
30-39	2,103	2,231	1,977	6,311	26.7%		
40-49	1,522	1,515	1,439	4,476	19.0%		
50-59	1,113	1, 112	901	3,126	13.2%		
60+	702	691	584	1,977	8.4%		
Total	8,036	8,179	7,401	23,616	100.0%		

SEC. 96A.3 (a) (2) TOTAL ENCOUNTERS By Gender;

DETENTIONS by Gender - Self-Initiated							
July 1 - September 30, 2017							
GENDER	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	% of Total		
FEMALE	379	414	457	1,250	16.3%		
MALE	1,871	2,240	2,273	6,384	83.2%		
UNKNOWN	14	9	18	41	0.5%		
Total	2,264	2,663	2,748	7,675	100.0%		

TRAFFIC STOPS by Gender - Self-Initiated

July 1 - September 30, 2017						
GENDER	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	% of Total	
FEMALE	1,916	1,877	1,824	5,617	23.8%	
MALE	6,106	6,289	5,561	17,956	76.0%	
UNKNOWN	14	13	16	43	0.2%	
Total	8,036	8,179	7,401	23,616	100.0%	

Note: Totals may not add up exactly due to rounding.

SEC. 96A.3 (a) (3) TOTAL SEARCHES PERFORMED By Race/Ethnicity;

Total Searches Perform	Total Searches Performed By Race/Ethnicity For Self-Initiated Detentions								
July 1 - September 30, 2017									
RACE	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	% of Total				
Asian or Pacific Islander	30	37	41	108	4.5%				
Black	284	306	278	868	36.5%				
Hispanic	130	172	186	488	20.5%				
Native American	1	3	2	6	0.3%				
White	235	272	283	790	33.3%				
Unknown 28 35 52 115 4.8%									
Total	708	825	842	2,375	100.0%				

Of the 7,675 self-initiated detentions, 30 percent (2,375) resulted in a search.

Total Searches Perform	Total Searches Performed By Race/Ethnicity For Self-Initiated Traffic Stops							
July 1 - September 30, 2017								
RACE	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	% of Total			
Asian or Pacific Islander	35	39	38	112	5.2%			
Black	389	383	392	1,164	54.1%			
Hispanic	121	153	124	398	18.5%			
Native American	1	0	0	1	0.0%			
White	123	105	147	375	17.4%			
Unknown	31	30	41	102	4.7%			
Total	700	710	742	2,152	100.0%			

Note: Total may not add up exactly due to rounding.

Of the 24,862 self-initiated traffic stops, 9 percent (2,152) resulted in a search.

SEC. 96A.3 (a) (3) TOTAL SEARCHES PERFORMED By Age;

Total Searches Performed By Age For Self-Initiated Detentions								
July 1 - September 30, 2017								
AGE	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	% of Total			
Under 18	40	29	20	89	3.7%			
18-29	262	319	308	889	37.4%			
30-39	193	229	252	674	28.4%			
40-49	117	121	156	394	16.6%			
50-59	66	98	85	249	10.5%			
60+	30	29	21	80	3.4%			
Total	708	825	84 2	2,375	100.0%			

Total Searches Performed By Age For Self-Initiated Traffic Stops

July 1 - September 30, 2017									
AGE	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	% of Total				
Under 18	17	17	19	53	2.5%				
18-29	396	364	375	1,135	52.7%				
30-39	173	165	201	539	25.0%				
40-49	67	90	84	241	11.2%				
50-59	39	59	50	148	6.9%				
60+	8	15	13	36	1.7%				
Total	700	710	742	2,152	100.0%				

Note: Totals may not add up exactly due to rounding.

SEC. 96A.3 (a) (3) TOTAL SEARCHES PERFORMED By Gender;

Total Searches Performed By Gender For Self-Initiated Detentions									
July 1 - September 30, 2017									
GENDER	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	% of Total				
FEMALE	69	94	97	260	10.9%				
MALE	636	727	742	2,105	88.6%				
UNKNOWN	3	4	3	10	0.4%				
Total	708	825	842	2,375	100.0%				
Total Searches Perform July 1 - September 30, 3	-	or Self-Initiated	Traffic Stops						
	-	or Self-Initiated	Traffic Stops SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	% of Total				
July 1 - September 30, : GENDER	2017			Total - Q3 347	% of Total 16.1%				
<mark>July 1 - September 30, 3 GENDER</mark> FEMALE	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	-					
July 1 - September 30, 3	JULY	AUG UST 103	SEPTEMBER 132	347	16.1%				

Note: Totals may not add up exactly due to rounding.

San Francisco Police Department

SEC. 96A.3 (a) (4) TOTAL OF EACH TYPE OF SEARCH PERFORMED

Types of Search Performed - Self-Initiated Detentions									
July 1 - September 30, 2017									
STOP SEARCH DESCRIPTION	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	% of Total				
Cursory/ Pat Search	202	248	254	704	29.64%				
Search as a result of Probation or Parole	120	131	115	366	15.41%				
Search Incident to Arrest	325	359	402	1,086	45.73%				
Search w/consent	29	34	35	98	4.13%				
Search w/o Consent*	30	53	35	118	4.97%				
Vehicle Inventory 2 0 1 3 0.13%									
Total	708	825	842	2,375	100.00%				

Types of Search Performed - Self-Initiated Traffic Stops								
July 1 - September 30, 2017								
STOP SEARCH DESCRIPTION	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	% of Total			
Cursory/ Pat Search	154	151	141	446	20.72%			
Search as a result of Probation or Parole	226	216	237	679	31.55%			
Search Incident to Arrest	123	134	121	378	17.57%			
Search w/consent	54	40	61	155	7.20%			
Search w/o Consent*	69	100	90	259	12.04%			
Vehicle Inventory	74	69	92	235	10.92%			
Total	700	710	742	2,152	100.00%			

Note: Search w/o consent are mandatory searches under policy or law. (i.e. reasonable suspicion or probable cause).

SEC. 96A.3 (a) (5) TOTAL OF EACH TYPE OF SEARCH PERFORMED, By Race/Ethnicity;

					% of	% of Grand
DESCRIPTION	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	Category	Total
Cursory/ Pat Search	202	248	254	704	100.0%	29.64%
Asian or Pacific Islander	8	4	14	26	3.7%	1.09%
Black	64	74	70	208	29.5%	8.76%
Hispanic	43	63	70	176	25.0%	7.41%
Native American	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
White	80	97	78	255	36.2%	10.74%
Unknown	7	10	22	39	5.5%	1.64%
Search as a result of Probation or Parole	120	131	115	366	100.0%	15.41%
Asian or Pacific Islander	6	10	7	23	6.3%	0.97%
Black	58	54	45	157	42.9%	6.61%
Hispanic	23	17	23	63	17.2%	2.65%
Native American	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
White	30	44	32	106	29.0%	4.46%
Unknown	3	6	8	17	4.6%	0.72%
Search Incident to Arrest	325	359	402	1,086	100.0%	45.73%
Asian or Pacific Islander	14	15	17	46	4.2%	1.94%
Black	143	148	129	420	38.7%	17.68%
Hispanic	50	69	83	202	18.6%	8.51%
Native American	1	3	2	6	0.6%	0.25%
White	101	105	153	359	33.1%	15.12%
Unknown	16	19	18	53	4.9%	2.23%
Search w/consent	29	34	35	98	100.0%	4.13%
Asian or Pacific Islander	2	7	2	11	11.2%	0.46%
Black	7	9	14	30	30.6%	1.26%
Hispanic	9	5	7	21	21.4%	0.88%
Native American	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
White	9	13	8	30	30.6%	1.26%
Unknown	2	0	4	6	6.1%	0.25%
Search w/o Consent	30	53	35	118	100.0%	4.97%
Asian or Pacific Islander	0	1	1	2	1.7%	0.08%
Black	12	21	19	52	44.1%	2.19%
Hispanic	3	18	3	24	20.3%	1.01%
Native American	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
White	15	13	12	40	33.9%	1.68%
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
Vehicle Inventory	2	0	1	3	100.0%	0.13%
Asian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
Black	0	0	1	1	33.3%	0.04%
Hispanic	2	0	0	2	66.7%	0.08%
Native American	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
White	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
Grand Total	708	825	842	2,375	-	100.00%

SEC. 96A.3 (a) (5) Race/ethnicity cont.

July 1 - September 30, 2017					% of	% of Grand
DESCRIPTION	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	% Of Category	% of Grand Total
Cursory/ Pat Search	154	151	141	446	100.0%	20.72%
Asian or Pacific Islander	5	10	7	22	4.9%	1.02%
Black	68	74	64	206	46.2%	9.57%
Hispanic	45	41	32	118	26.5%	5.48%
Native American	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
White	25	20	32	77	17.3%	3.58%
Unknown	11	6	6	23	5.2%	1.07%
Search as a result of Probation or Parole	226	216	237	679	100.0%	31.55%
Asian or Pacific Islander	13	8	10	31	4.6%	1.44%
Black	144	133	140	417	61.4%	19.38%
Hispanic	32	33	32	97	14.3%	4.51%
Native American	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
White	32	30	45	107	15.8%	4.97%
Unknown	5	12	10	27	4.0%	1.25%
Search Incident to Arrest	123	134	121	378	100.0%	17.57%
Asian or Pacific Islander	3	6	7	16	4.2%	0.74%
Black	67	54	53	174	46.0%	8.09%
Hispanic	17	37	20	74	19.6%	3.44%
Native American	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
White	31	31	34	96	25.4%	4.46%
Unknown	5	6	7	18	4.8%	0.84%
Search w/consent	54	40	61	155	100.0%	7.20%
Asian or Pacific Islander	7	3	6	16	10.3%	0.74%
Black	22	21	32	75	48.4%	3.49%
Hispanic	5	12	3	20	12.9%	0.93%
Native American	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
White	16	2	14	32	20.6%	1.49%
Unknown	4	2	6	12	7.7%	0.56%
Search w/o Consent	69	100	90	259	100.0%	12.04%
Asian or Pacific Islander	7	8	4	19	7.3%	0.88%
Black	48	61	55	164	63.3%	7.62%
Hispanic	6	19	13	38	14.7%	1.77%
Native American	1	0	0	1	0.4%	0.05%
White	5	10	11	26	10.0%	1.21%
Unknown	2	2	7	11	4.2%	0.51%
Vehicle Inventory	74	69	92	235	100.0%	10.92%
Asian or Pacific Islander	0	4	4	8	3.4%	0.37%
Black	40	40	48	128	54.5%	5.95%
Hispanic	16	11	24	51	21.7%	2.37%
Native American	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
White	14	12	11	37	15.7%	1.72%
Unknown	4	2	5	11	4.7%	0.51%
Grand Total	700	710	742	2,152	-	100.00%

SEC. 96A.3 (a) (5) TOTAL OF EACH TYPE OF SEARCH PERFORMED, By Age;

SEARCHES by Age for Self-Initiated Deten July 1 - September 30, 2017						
					% of	% of Grand
DESCRIPTION	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	Category	Total
Cursory/ Pat Search	202	248	254	704	100.0%	29.64%
Under 18	17	7	2	26	3.7%	1.09%
18-29	69	97	90	256	36.4%	10.78%
30-39	45	53	75	173	24.6%	7.28%
40-49	40	39	47	126	17.9%	5.31%
50-59	23	44	33	100	14.2%	4.21%
60+	8	8	7	23	3.3%	0.97%
Search as a result of Probation or Parole	120	131	115	366	100.0%	15.41%
Under 18	2	5	4	11	3.0%	0.46%
18-29	46	49	41	136	37.2%	5.73%
30-39	45	43	45	133	36.3%	5.60%
40-49	15	29	19	63	17.2%	2.65%
50-59	9	3	6	18	4.9%	0.76%
60+	3	2	0	5	1.4%	0.21%
Search Incident to Arrest	325	359	402	1,086	100.0%	45.73%
Under 18	19	16	12	47	4.3%	1.98%
18-29	124	131	145	400	36.8%	16.84%
30-39	84	112	116	312	28.7%	13.14%
40-49	54	40	77	171	15.7%	7.20%
50-59	29	44	40	113	10.4%	4.76%
60+	15	16	12	43	4.0%	1.81%
Search w/consent	29	34	35	98	100.0%	4.13%
Under 18	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
18-29	10	12	18	40	40.8%	1.68%
30-39	11	11	9	31	31.6%	1.31%
40-49	4	7	6	17	17.3%	0.72%
50-59	2	3	2	7	7.1%	0.29%
60+	2	1	0	3	3.1%	0.13%
Search w/o Consent	30	53	35	118	100.0%	4.97%
Under 18	2	1	2	5	4.2%	0.21%
18-29	12	30	14	56	47.5%	2.36%
30-39	8	10	7	25	21.2%	1.05%
40-49	3	6	6	15	12.7%	0.63%
50-59	3	4	4	11	9.3%	0.46%
60+	2	2	2	6	5.1%	0.25%
Vehicle Inventory	2	0	1	3	100.0%	0.13%
Under 18	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
18-29	1	0	0	1	33.3%	0.04%
30-39	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
40-49	1	0	0	2	66.7%	0.08%
50-59	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
60+	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
Grand Total	708	825	842	2,375	-	100.00%

SEC. 96A.3 (a) (5) By Age cont.

July 1 - September 30, 2017					% of	% of Grand
DESCRIPTION	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	Category	Total
Cursory/ Pat Search	154	151	141	446	100.0%	20.72%
Under 18	3	2	4	9	2.0%	0.42%
18-29	78	71	78	227	50.9%	10.55%
30-39	37	38	25	100	22.4%	4.65%
40-49	23	23	18	64	14.3%	2.97%
50-59	8	14	14	36	8.1%	1.67%
60+	5	3	2	10	2.2%	0.46%
Search as a result of Probation or Parole	226	216	237	679	100.0%	31.55%
Under 18	3	3	2	8	1.2%	0.37%
18-29	145	111	114	370	54.5%	17.19%
30-39	52	52	71	175	25.8%	8.13%
40-49	13	30	31	74	10.9%	3.44%
50-59	11	16	16	43	6.3%	2.00%
60+	2	4	3	9	1.3%	0.42%
Search Incident to Arrest	123	134	121	378	100.0%	17.57%
Under 18	3	4	6	13	3.4%	0.60%
18-29	61	59	44	164	43.4%	7.62%
30-39	36	35	42	113	29.9%	5.25%
40-49	14	20	19	53	14.0%	2.46%
50-59	9	12	6	27	7.1%	1.25%
60+	0	4	4	8	2.1%	0.37%
Search w/consent	54	40	61	155	100.0%	7.20%
Under 18	1	0	2	3	1.9%	0.14%
18-29	26	26	31	83	53.5%	3.86%
30-39	15	7	18	40	25.8%	1.86%
40-49	8	4	5	17	11.0%	0.79%
50-59	3	3	5	11	7.1%	0.51%
60+	1	0	0	1	0.6%	0.05%
Search w/o Consent	69	100	90	259	100.0%	12.04%
Under 18	5	6	1	12	4.6%	0.56%
18-29	40	65	62	167	64.5%	7.76%
30-39	17	19	18	54	20.8%	2.51%
40-49	4	7	5	16	6.2%	0.74%
50-59	3	3	3	9	3.5%	0.42%
60+	0	0	1	1	0.4%	0.05%
Vehicle Inventory	74	69	92	235	100.0%	10.92%
Under 18	2	2	4	8	3.4%	0.37%
18-29	46	32	46	124	52.8%	5.76%
30-39	16	14	27	57	24.3%	2.65%
40-49	5	6	6	17	7.2%	0.79%
50-59	5	11	6	22	9.4%	1.02%
60+	0	4	3	7	3.0%	0.33%
Grand Total	700	710	742	2,152	-	100.00%

SEC. 96A.3 (a) (5) TOTAL OF EACH TYPE OF SEARCH PERFORMED, By Gender;

SEARCHES by Gender for Self-Initiated D	etentions				·	·
July 1 - September 30, 2017			1			
DESCRIPTION	July	August	September	Total - Q3	% of Category	% of Grand Tota
Cursory/ Pat Search	202	248	254	704	100.0%	29.642%
FEMALE	13	24	26	63	8.9%	2.653%
MALE	187	224	228	639	90.8%	26.905%
UNKNOWN	2	0	0	2	0.3%	0.084%
Search as a result of Probation or Parole	120	131	115	366	100.0%	15.411%
FEMALE	7	9	3	19	5.2%	0.800%
MALE	113	122	112	347	94.8%	14.611%
UNKNOWN	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.000%
Search Incident to Arrest	325	359	402	1,086	100.0%	45.726%
FEMALE	43	44	57	144	13.3%	6.063%
MALE	281	311	342	934	86.0%	39.326%
UNKNOWN	1	4	3	8	0.7%	0.337%
Search w/consent	29	34	35	98	100.0%	4.126%
FEMALE	5	8	2	15	15.3%	0.632%
MALE	24	26	33	83	84.7%	3.495%
UNKNOWN	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.000%
Search w/o Consent	30	53	35	118	100.0%	4.968%
FEMALE	0	9	9	18	15.3%	0.758%
MALE	30	44	26	100	84.7%	4.211%
UNKNOWN	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.000%
Vehicle Inventory	2	0	1	3	100.0%	0.126%
FEMALE	1	0	0	1	33.3%	0.042%
MALE	1	0	1	2	66.7%	0.084%
UNKNOWN	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.000%
TOTAL	708	825	842	2,375	-	100.000%

SEARCHES by Gender for Self-Initiated Tra	affic Stops					
July 1 - September 30, 2017 DESCRIPTION	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	% of Category	% of Grand Total
Cursory/ Pat Search	154	151	141	446	100.0%	20.72%
FEMALE	20	23	29	72	16.1%	3.35%
MALE	134	128	112	374	83.9%	17.38%
UNKNOWN	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
Search as a result of Probation or Parole	226	216	237	679	100.0%	31.55%
FEMALE	34	24	30	88	13.0%	4.09%
MALE	192	192	207	591	87.0%	27.46%
UNKNOWN	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
Search Incident to Arrest	123	134	121	378	100.0%	17.57%
FEMALE	20	19	16	55	14.6%	2.56%
MALE	103	115	104	322	85.2%	14.96%
UNKNOWN	0	0	1	1	0.3%	0.05%
Search w/consent	54	40	61	155	100.0%	7.20%
FEMALE	8	6	11	25	16.1%	1.16%
MALE	45	34	50	129	83.2%	5.99%
UNKNOWN	1	0	0	1	0.6%	0.05%
Search w/o Consent	69	100	90	259	100.0%	12.04%
FEMALE	14	15	14	43	16.6%	2.00%
MALE	55	85	76	216	83.4%	10.04%
UNKNOWN	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
Vehicle Inventory	74	69	92	235	100.0%	10.92%
FEMALE	16	16	32	64	27.2%	2.97%
MALE	58	53	59	170	72.3%	7.90%
UNKNOWN	0	0	1	1	0.4%	0.05%
TOTAL	700	710	742	2,152	-	100.00%

SEC. 96A.3 (a) (6) TOTAL OF EACH TYPE OF DISPOSITION by Race/Ethnicity;

July 1 - September 30, 2017						
Description	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total- Q3	% of Category	% of Grand Total
Citation	836	972	929	2,737	100.0%	35.66%
Asian or Pacific Islander	15	37	39	91	3.3%	1.19%
Black	241	287	247	775	28.3%	10.10%
Hispanic	107	83	105	295	10.8%	3.84%
Native American	2	7	4	13	0.5%	0.17%
White	425	506	480	1,411	51.6%	18.38%
Unknown	46	52	54	152	5.6%	1.98%
Field Interview	83	96	93	272	100.0%	3.54%
Asian or Pacific Islander	5	2	7	14	5.1%	0.18%
Black	19	30	24	73	26.8%	0.95%
Hispanic	14	23	13	50	18.4%	0.65%
Native American White	0 44	0	2 42	2 120	0.7%	0.03%
Unknown	44	7	42 5	120	44.1%	0.17%
In Custody Arrest	292	309	336	937	100.0%	12.21%
Asian or Pacific Islander	12	12	11	35	3.7%	0.46%
Black	135	134	11	389	41.5%	5.07%
Hispanic	45	66	68	179	19.1%	2.33%
Native American	0	2	2	4	0.4%	0.05%
White	89	83	118	290	30.9%	3.78%
Unknown	11	12	17	40	4.3%	0.52%
Incident Report	59	58	54	171	100.0%	2.23%
Asian or Pacific Islander	2	0	6	8	4.7%	0.10%
Black	28	19	11	58	33.9%	0.76%
Hispanic	5	9	11	25	14.6%	0.33%
Native American	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
White	22	27	24	73	42.7%	0.95%
Unknown	2	3	2	7	4.1%	0.09%
Mental Health Detention	24	44	48	116	100.0%	1.51%
Asian or Pacific Islander	5	3	3	11	9.5%	0.14%
Black	7	7	14 4	28 7	24.1%	0.36%
Hispanic Native American	0	1	4	1	6.0% 0.9%	0.09%
White	9	30	23	62	53.4%	0.01%
Unknown	2	1	4	7	6.0%	0.09%
No Further Action	300	339	344	983	100.0%	12.81%
Asian or Pacific Islander	19	19	18	56	5.7%	0.73%
Black	105	106	110	321	32.7%	4.18%
Hispanic	36	48	66	150	15.3%	1.95%
Native American	0	1	0	1	0.1%	0.01%
White	130	145	134	409	41.6%	5.33%
Unknown	10	20	16	46	4.7%	0.60%
Released per PC 849(b)	88	68	71	227	100.0%	2.96%
Asian or Pacific Islander	1	5	7	13	5.7%	0.17%
Black	46	25	34	105	46.3%	1.37%
Hispanic	19	20	10	49	21.6%	0.64%
Native American	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
White	21	14	15	50	22.0% 4.4%	0.65%
Unknown RWS Arrest	1 17	18	5 29	10 64	4.4% 100.0%	0.13% 0.83%
Asian or Pacific Islander	0	0	29 0	04	0.0%	0.83%
Black	5	7	3	15	23.4%	0.00%
Hispanic	3	1	17	21	32.8%	0.20%
Native American	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
White	8	8	9	25	39.1%	0.33%
Unknown	1	2	0	3	4.7%	0.04%
Warning	565	759	844	2,168	100.0%	28.25%
Asian or Pacific Islander	16	29	30	75	3.5%	0.98%
Black	151	239	240	630	29.1%	8.21%
Hispanic	93	109	113	315	14.5%	4.10%
Native American	1	1	2	4	0.2%	0.05%
White	281	356	398	1,035	47.7%	13.49%
Unknown	23	25	61	109	5.0%	1.42%
Total	2,264	2,663	2,748	7,675	-	100.00%

Note: RWS=Release When Sober

SEC. 96A.3 (a) (6) by Race/Ethnicity cont.

BY RACE/ETHNCITY July 1 - September 30, 2017						
					% of	% of Grand
Description	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total- Q3	Category	Total
Citation	5,356	5,249	4,644	15,249	100.0%	64.57%
Asian or Pacific Islander	1,025	1,014	895	2,934	19.2%	12.42%
Black	648	604	573	1,825	12.0%	7.73%
Hispanic	737	726	666	2,129	14.0%	9.02%
Native American	15	16	16	47	0.3%	0.20%
White	1,993	1,903	1,687	5,583	36.6%	23.64%
Unknown	938	986	807	2,731	17.9%	11.56%
Field Interview	44	82	61	187	100.0%	0.79%
Asian or Pacific Islander	8	5 40	5 25	18 92	9.6% 49.2%	0.08%
Black Hispanic	27	40	12	33	49.2%	0.39%
Native American	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.14%
White	2	21	16	39	20.9%	0.00%
Jnknown	0	21	3	5	20.3%	0.17%
n Custody Arrest	136	153	135	424	100.0%	1.80%
Asian or Pacific Islander	10	6	11	27	6.4%	0.11%
Black	73	73	67	213	50.2%	0.11%
Hispanic	21	37	25	83	19.6%	0.35%
Native American	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
White	25	34	28	87	20.5%	0.37%
Jnknown	7	3	4	14	3.3%	0.06%
ncident Report	67	42	57	166	100.0%	0.70%
Asian or Pacific Islander	3	1	1	5	3.0%	0.02%
Black	24	16	25	65	39.2%	0.28%
Hispanic	13	15	15	43	25.9%	0.18%
Native American	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
White	24	9	14	47	28.3%	0.20%
Jnknown	3	1	2	6	3.6%	0.03%
Mental Health Detention	3	3	2	8	100.0%	0.03%
Asian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
Black	1	0	0	1	12.5%	0.00%
Hispanic	0	1	0	1	12.5%	0.00%
Native American	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
White	2	2	2	6	75.0%	0.03%
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
No Further Action	214	325	251	790	100.0%	3.35%
Asian or Pacific Islander	23	28	20	71	9.0%	0.30%
Black	78	127	98	303	38.4%	1.28%
Hispanic	44	56	51	151	19.1%	0.64%
Native American White	0 52	1	0	1 194	0.1%	0.00%
Jnknown	52	82 31	60 22	70	24.6% 8.9%	0.82% 0.30%
Released per PC 849(b)	50	31	44	130	100.0%	0.55%
Asian or Pacific Islander	1	2	0	3	2.3%	0.01%
Black	35	15	19	69	53.1%	0.29%
Hispanic	5	13	9	27	20.8%	0.23%
Native American	5	0	9	1	20.8%	0.11%
White	7	6	8	21	16.2%	0.00%
Jnknown	, 1	0	8	9	6.9%	0.03%
RWS Arrest	4	1	3	8	100.0%	0.03%
Asian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
Black	3	0	3	6	75.0%	0.03%
Hispanic	1	0	0	1	12.5%	0.00%
Native American	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
White	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
Jnknown	0	1	0	1	12.5%	0.00%
Warning	2,162	2,288	2,204	6,654	100.0%	28.18%
Asian or Pacific Islander	237	276	282	795	11.9%	3.37%
Black	669	640	704	2,013	30.3%	8.52%
lispanic	347	379	376	1,102	16.6%	4.67%
Native American	1	7	2	10	0.2%	0.04%
White	667	677	561	1,905	28.6%	8.07%
Jnknown	241 8,036	309	279	829	12.5%	3.51%
		8,179	7,401	23,616	-	100.00%

Note: RWS=Release When Sober

SEC. 96A.3 (a) (6) TOTAL OF EACH TYPE OF DISPOSITION by Age;

					% Of	% of Grand
DESCRIPTION	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	Category	Total
Citation	836	972	929	2,737	100.0%	35.66%
Under 18	5	5	9	19	0.7%	0.25%
18-29 30-39	202 195	252 236	210 228	664 659	24.3% 24.1%	8.65% 8.59%
40-49	195	174	228	541	19.8%	7.05%
50-59	185	219	186	590	21.6%	7.69%
60+	84	86	94	264	9.6%	3.44%
Field Interview	83	96	93	272	100.0%	3.54%
Under 18	0	1	0	1	0.4%	0.01%
18-29	35	46	36	117	43.0%	1.52%
30-39	26	27	23	76	27.9%	0.99%
40-49 50-59	12 9	16 5	19 13	47 27	17.3% 9.9%	0.61%
50-59 60+	9	5	2	4	9.9%	0.35%
In Custody Arrest	292	309	336	937	100.0%	12.21%
Under 18	16	18	12	46	4.9%	0.60%
18-29	116	119	128	363	38.7%	4.73%
30-39	75	91	98	264	28.2%	3.44%
40-49	49	38	64	151	16.1%	1.97%
50-59	25	33	27	85	9.1%	1.11%
60+	11	10	7	28	3.0%	0.36%
Incident Report	59	58	54	171	100.0%	2.23%
Under 18 18-29	8 13	3 26	2 15	<u>13</u> 54	7.6%	0.17%
30-39	13	26	15	42	24.6%	0.70%
40-49	14	4	14	36	24.0%	0.33%
50-59	4	8	3	15	8.8%	0.20%
60+	5	3	3	11	6.4%	0.14%
Mental Health Detention	24	44	48	116	100.0%	1.51%
Under 18	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
18-29	5	9	14	28	24.1%	0.36%
30-39	7	10	15	32	27.6%	0.42%
40-49	9	11	9	29	25.0%	0.38%
50-59 60+	2	9 5	9 1	20 7	17.2% 6.0%	0.26%
No Further Action	300	339	344	983	100.0%	12.81%
Under 18	8	5	3	16	1.6%	0.21%
18-29	86	119	96	301	30.6%	3.92%
30-39	100	94	106	300	30.5%	3.91%
40-49	48	60	68	176	17.9%	2.29%
50-59	40	37	49	126	12.8%	1.64%
60+	18	24	22	64	6.5%	0.83%
Released per PC 849(b)	88	68	71	227	100.0%	2.96%
Under 18 18-29	16 26	6 28	5 24	27 78	11.9% 34.4%	0.35%
30-39	26 19	28 16	24 17	52	22.9%	0.68%
40-49	19	10	9	29	12.8%	0.08%
50-59	8	6	13	27	11.9%	0.35%
60+	9	2	3	14	6.2%	0.18%
RWS Arrest	17	18	29	64	100.0%	0.83%
Under 18	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
18-29	8	5	11	24	37.5%	0.31%
30-39	4	7	1	12	18.8%	0.16%
40-49	3	0	9	12	18.8%	0.16%
50-59 60+	1	5	8	<u>14</u> 2	21.9% 3.1%	0.18%
Warning	565	759	844	2,168	100.0%	28.25%
Under 18	8	18	10	36	1.7%	0.47%
18-29	178	226	272	676	31.2%	8.81%
30-39	184	235	246	665	30.7%	8.66%
40-49	92	138	169	399	18.4%	5.20%
50-59	71	101	105	277	12.8%	3.61%
60+	32	41	42	115	5.3%	1.50%

Note: RWS=Release When Sober

SEC. 96A.3 (a) (6) By Age cont.

					% of	% of Grand	
DESCRIPTION	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	Category	Total	
Citation	5,356	5,249	4,644	15,249	100.0%	64.57%	
Under 18	15	16	20	51	0.3%	0.22%	
18-29	1,501	1,467	1,348	4,316	28.3%	18.28%	
30-39	1,433	1,440	1,216	4,089	26.8%	17.31%	
40-49 50-59	1,067 788	1,022 779	991 635	3,080	20.2%	<u>13.04%</u> 9.32%	
60+	552	525	434	1,511	9.9%	9.32% 6.40%	
ield Interview	44	82	61	187	100.0%	0.79%	
Under 18	1	9	0	10	5.3%	0.04%	
18-29	26	38	30	94	50.3%	0.40%	
30-39	13	15	19	47	25.1%	0.20%	
40-49	1	11	2	14	7.5%	0.06%	
50-59	3	7	7	17	9.1%	0.07%	
60+	0	2	3	5	2.7%	0.02%	
n Custody Arrest	136	153	135	424	100.0%	1.80%	
Under 18	6	6	5	17	4.0%	0.07%	
18-29	66	72	45	183	43.2%	0.77%	
30-39	40	35	49	124	29.2%	0.53%	
40-49 50-59	15	21 16	23	59	13.9%	0.25%	
60+	8	3	6	31 10	7.3%	0.13%	
ncident Report	67	42	57	166	100.0%	0.04%	
Under 18	6	3	1	100	6.0%	0.04%	
18-29	31	19	26	76	45.8%	0.32%	
30-39	16	10	18	44	26.5%	0.19%	
40-49	5	6	6	17	10.2%	0.07%	
50-59	9	4	5	18	10.8%	0.08%	
60+	0	0	1	1	0.6%	0.00%	
Mental Health Detention	3	3	2	8	100.0%	0.03%	
Under 18	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%	
18-29	1	0	0	1	12.5%	0.00%	
30-39	0	1	1	2	25.0%	0.01%	
40-49	1	1	0	2	25.0%	0.01%	
50-59 60+	0	0	1 0	1 2	12.5% 25.0%	0.00%	
No Further Action	214	325	251	790	100.0%	3.35%	
Under 18	6	5	3	14	1.8%	0.06%	
18-29	88	140	124	352	44.6%	1.49%	
30-39	57	94	60	211	26.7%	0.89%	
40-49	31	48	34	113	14.3%	0.48%	
50-59	18	22	20	60	7.6%	0.25%	
60+	14	16	10	40	5.1%	0.17%	
Released per PC 849(b)	50	36	44	130	100.0%	0.55%	
Under 18	2	2	3	7	5.4%	0.03%	
18-29	25	18	28	71	54.6%	0.30%	
30-39	15	11	5	31	23.8%	0.13%	
40-49 50-59	2	2	6 1	10	7.7% 5.4%	0.04%	
60+	2	2	1	4	5.4% 3.1%	0.03%	
RWS Arrest	4	1	3	8	100.0%	0.02%	
Under 18	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%	
18-29	1	0	2	3	37.5%	0.01%	
30-39	1	0	0	1	12.5%	0.00%	
40-49	2	0	1	3	37.5%	0.01%	
50-59	0	1	0	1	12.5%	0.00%	
60+	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%	
Warning	2,162	2,288	2,204	6,654	100.0%	28.18%	
Under 18	26	24	23	73	1.1%	0.31%	
18-29	795	811	842	2,448	36.8%	10.37%	
30-39	528	625	609	1,762	26.5%	7.46%	
40-49	398	404	376	1,178	17.7%	4.99%	
50-59	283	281	225	789	11.9%	3.34%	
60+ Total	132 8,036	143 8,179	129 7,401	404 23,616	6.1%	1.71% 100.00%	

Note: RWS=Release When Sober

SEC. 96A.3 (a) (6) TOTAL OF EACH TYPE OF DISPOSITION by Gender;

DISPOSITION OF SELF-INITIATED DETENTIONS 39 GENDER									
July 1 - September 30, 2017									
					% of	% of Grand			
DESCRIPTION	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	Category	Total			
Citation	836	972	929	2,737	100.0%	35.66%			
FEMALE	166	165	152	483	17.6%	6.29%			
MALE	661	802	770	2,233	81.6%	29.09%			
UNKNOWN	9	5	7	21	0.8%	0.27%			
Field Interview	83	96	93	272	100.0%	3.54%			
FEMALE	13	10	21	44	16.2%	0.57%			
MALE	68	86	72	226	83.1%	2.94%			
UNKNOWN	2	0	0	2	0.7%	0.03%			
In Custody Arrest	292	309	336	937	100.0%	12.21%			
FEMALE	43	37	53	133	14.2%	1.73%			
MALE	249	269	281	799	85.3%	10.41%			
UNKNOWN	0	3	2	5	0.5%	0.07%			
Incident Report	59	58	54	171	100.0%	2.23%			
FEMALE	22	16	13	51	29.8%	0.66%			
MALE	37	42	41	120	70.2%	1.56%			
UNKNOWN	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%			
Mental Health Detention	24	44	48	116	100.0%	1.51%			
FEMALE	7	11	11	29	25.0%	0.38%			
MALE	17	33	37	87	75.0%	1.13%			
UNKNOWN	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%			
No Further Action	300	339	344	983	100.0%	12.81%			
FEMALE	33	66	74	173	17.6%	2.25%			
MALE	264	272	266	802	81.6%	10.45%			
UNKNOWN	3	1	4	8	0.8%	0.10%			
Released per PC 849(b)	88	68	71	227	100.0%	2.96%			
FEMALE	14	16	18	48	21.1%	0.63%			
MALE	74	52	53	179	78.9%	2.33%			
UNKNOWN	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%			
RWS Arrest	17	18	29	64	100.0%	0.83%			
FEMALE	1	2	2	5	7.8%	0.07%			
MALE	16	16	27	59	92.2%	0.77%			
UNKNOWN	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%			
Warning	565	759	844	2,168	100.0%	28.25%			
FEMALE	80	91	113	284	13.1%	3.70%			
MALE	485	668	726	1,879	86.7%	24.48%			
UNKNOWN	0	0	5	5	0.2%	0.07%			
TOTAL	2,264	2,663	2,748	7,675	-	100.00%			

Note: RWS=Release When Sober

SEC. 96A.3 (a) (6) By Gender cont.

DISPOSITION OF SELF-INITIATED TRAFFIC STOPS

BY GENDER July 1 - September 30, 2017						
DESCRIPTION	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	% of Category	% of Grand Total
Citation	5,356	5,249	4,644	15,249	100.0%	64.57%
FEMALE	1,278	1,215	1,114	3,607	23.7%	15.27%
MALE	4,066	4,027	3,522	11,615	76.2%	49.18%
UNKNOWN	12	7	8	27	0.2%	0.11%
Field Interview	44	82	61	187	100.0%	0.79%
FEMALE	1	17	10	28	15.0%	0.12%
MALE	43	65	51	159	85.0%	0.67%
UNKNOWN	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
In Custody Arrest	136	153	135	424	100.0%	1.80%
FEMALE	21	19	21	61	14.4%	0.26%
MALE	115	134	114	363	85.6%	1.54%
UNKNOWN	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
Incident Report	67	42	57	166	100.0%	0.70%
FEMALE	24	15	25	64	38.6%	0.27%
MALE	43	27	32	102	61.4%	0.43%
UNKNOWN	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
Mental Health Detention	3	3	2	8	100.0%	0.03%
FEMALE	1	2	0	3	37.5%	0.01%
MALE	2	1	2	5	62.5%	0.02%
UNKNOWN	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
No Further Action	214	325	251	790	100.0%	3.35%
FEMALE	53	78	68	199	25.2%	0.84%
MALE	161	247	183	591	74.8%	2.50%
UNKNOWN	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
Released per PC 849(b)	50	36	44	130	100.0%	0.55%
FEMALE	10	11	13	34	26.2%	0.14%
MALE	40	24	27	91	70.0%	0.39%
UNKNOWN	0	1	4	5	3.8%	0.02%
RWS Arrest	4	1	3	8	100.0%	0.03%
FEMALE	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
MALE	4	1	3	8	100.0%	0.03%
UNKNOWN	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
Warning	2,162	2,288	2,204	6,654	100.0%	28.18%
FEMALE	528	520	573	1,621	24.4%	6.86%
MALE	1,632	1,763	1,627	5,022	75.5%	21.27%
UNKNOWN	2	5	4	11	0.2%	0.05%
TOTAL	8,036	8,179	7,401	23,616	-	100.00%

Note: RWS=Release When Sober

					% of	% of Grand
DESCRIPTION	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	Category	Total
Consensual Encounter	117	172	165	454	100.0%	5.915%
Asian or Pacific Islander	3	5	8	16	3.5%	0.208%
Black	35	49	34	118	26.0%	1.537%
Hispanic	14	14	27	55	12.1%	0.717%
Native American	0	1	2	3	0.7%	0.039%
White	60	95	86	241	53.1%	3.140%
Unknown	5	8	8	21	4.6%	0.274%
Mental Health Evaluation	53	70	65	188	100.0%	2.450%
Asian or Pacific Islander	4	4	4	12	6.4%	0.156%
Black	18	17	19	54	28.7%	0.704%
Hispanic	4	3	7	14	7.4%	0.182%
Native American	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.000%
White	26	42	30	98	52.1%	1.277%
Unknown	1	4	5	10	5.3%	0.130%
Probable Cause	1,408	1,620	1,625	4,653	100.0%	60.625%
Asian or Pacific Islander	40	63	59	162	3.5%	2.111%
Black	440	534	492	1,466	31.5%	19.101%
Hispanic	182	201	226	609	13.1%	7.935%
Native American	3	10	6	19	0.4%	0.248%
White	677	737	748	2,162	46.5%	28.169%
Unknown	66	75	94	235	5.1%	3.062%
Probation or Parole	57	86	64	207	100.0%	2.697%
Asian or Pacific Islander	9	10	4	23	11.1%	0.300%
Black	27	38	28	93	44.9%	1.212%
Hispanic	12	11	11	34	16.4%	0.443%
Native American	0	0	1	1	0.5%	0.013%
White	9	21	18	48	23.2%	0.625%
Unknown	0	6	2	8	3.9%	0.104%
Reasonable Suspicion	463	533	584	1,580	100.0%	20.586%
Asian or Pacific Islander	11	14	28	53	3.4%	0.691%
Black	175	159	163	497	31.5%	6.476%
Hispanic	80	106	93	279	17.7%	3.635%
Native American	0	0	1	1	0.1%	0.013%
White	178	227	267	672	42.5%	8.756%
Unknown	19	27	32	78	4.9%	1.016%
Traffic Violation	166	182	245	593	100.0%	7.726%
Asian or Pacific Islander	8	11	18	393	6.2%	0.482%
Black	42	57	67	166	28.0%	2.163%
Hispanic	31	26	43	100	16.9%	1.303%
Native American	0	1	43	100	0.2%	0.013%
White	79	81	94	254	42.8%	3.309%
Jnknown	6	6	23	35	42.8% 5.9%	0.456%
TOTAL	2,264	2,663	23	7,675	5.9%	100.000%

July 1 - September 30, 2017					% of	% of Grand
DESCRIPTION	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	Category	Total
Consensual Encounter	32	43	29	104	100.0%	0.440%
Asian or Pacific Islander	3	0	0	3	2.9%	0.013%
Black	9	10	5	24	23.1%	0.102%
Hispanic	6	11	5	22	21.2%	0.093%
Native American	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.000%
White	5	10	13	28	26.9%	0.119%
Unknown	9	12	6	27	26.0%	0.114%
Mental Health Evaluation	0	2	2	4	100.0%	0.017%
Asian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.000%
Black	0	0	1	1	25.0%	0.004%
Hispanic	0	1	0	1	25.0%	0.004%
Native American	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.000%
White	0	1	1	2	50.0%	0.008%
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.000%
Probable Cause	746	643	660	2,049	100.0%	8.676%
Asian or Pacific Islander	91	79	66	236	11.5%	0.999%
Black	231	193	248	672	32.8%	2.846%
Hispanic	131	120	100	351	17.1%	1.486%
Native American	1	1	1	3	0.1%	0.013%
White	191	157	164	512	25.0%	2.168%
Unknown	101	93	81	275	13.4%	1.164%
Probation or Parole	28	29	24	81	100.0%	0.343%
Asian or Pacific Islander	2	5	2	9	11.1%	0.038%
Black	18	15	19	52	64.2%	0.220%
Hispanic	4	4	1	9	11.1%	0.038%
Native American	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.000%
White	4	1	1	6	7.4%	0.025%
Unknown	0	4	1	5	6.2%	0.021%
Reasonable Suspicion	181	217	164	562	100.0%	2.380%
Asian or Pacific Islander	11	15	10	36	6.4%	0.152%
Black	85	94	66	245	43.6%	1.037%
Hispanic	30	49	23	102	18.1%	0.432%
Native American	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.000%
White	42	47	47	136	24.2%	0.576%
Unknown	13	12	18	43	7.7%	0.182%
Traffic Violation	7,049	7,245	6,522	20,816	100.0%	88.144%
Asian or Pacific Islander	1,200	1,233	1,136	3,569	17.1%	15.113%
Black	1,215	1,203	1,175	3,593	17.3%	15.214%
Hispanic	1,004	1,056	1,025	3,085	14.8%	13.063%
Native American	16	23	17	56	0.3%	0.237%
White	2,530	2,518	2,150	7,198	34.6%	30.479%
Unknown	1,084	1,212	1,019	3,315	15.9%	14.037%
TOTAL	8,036	8,179	7,401	23,616	-	100.000%

SEC. 96A.3 (d) By Race/Ethnicity cont.

July 1 - September 30, 2017						
					% of	% of Grand
DESCRIPTION	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	Category	Total
Consensual Encounter	117	172	165	454	100.0%	5.92%
Under 18	1	4	1	6	1.3%	0.08%
18-29	37	50	55	142	31.3%	1.85%
30-39	35	51	43	129	28.4%	1.68%
40-49	17	29	32	78	17.2%	1.02%
50-59	20	23	23	66	14.5%	0.86%
60+	7	15	11	33	7.3%	0.43%
Mental Health Evaluation	53	70	65	188	100.0%	2.45%
Under 18	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
18-29	10	12	14	36	19.1%	0.47%
30-39	21	17	19	57	30.3%	0.74%
40-49	14	19	18	51	27.1%	0.66%
50-59	5	17	11	33	17.6%	0.43%
60+	3	5	3	11	5.9%	0.14%
Probable Cause	1,408	1,620	1,625	4,653	100.0%	60.63%
Under 18	35	27	24	86	1.8%	1.12%
18-29	395	492	457	1,344	28.9%	17.51%
30-39	373	431	430	1,234	26.5%	16.08%
40-49	253	272	336	861	18.5%	11.22%
50-59	240	278	261	779	16.7%	10.15%
60+	112	120	117	349	7.5%	4.55%
Probation or Parole	57	86	64	207	100.0%	2.70%
Under 18	5	6	0	11	5.3%	0.14%
18-29	22	34	17	73	35.3%	0.14%
30-39	18	23	25	66	31.9%	0.35%
	5	17			1	1
40-49	5	5	11	33	15.9%	0.43%
50-59	2	1	7 4	17 7	8.2%	0.22%
60+			-		3.4%	0.09%
Reasonable Suspicion	463	533	584	1,580	100.0%	20.59%
Under 18	16	11	8	35	2.2%	0.46%
18-29	154	186	185	525	33.2%	6.84%
30-39	137	162	180	479	30.3%	6.24%
40-49	81	85	119	285	18.0%	3.71%
50-59	56	68	73	197	12.5%	2.57%
60+	19	21	19	59	3.7%	0.77%
Traffic Violation	166	182	245	593	100.0%	7.73%
Under 18	4	8	8	20	3.4%	0.26%
18-29	51	56	78	185	31.2%	2.41%
30-39	40	46	51	137	23.1%	1.79%
40-49	33	29	50	112	18.9%	1.46%
50-59	19	32	38	89	15.0%	1.16%
60+	19	11	20	50	8.4%	0.65%
TOTAL	2,264	2,663	2,748	7,675	-	100.00%

SEC. 96A.3(d) REASONS FOR ENCOUNTERS by Age;

San Francisco Police Department

SEC. 96A.3 (d) By Age cont.

					% of	% of Grand
DESCRIPTION	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	Category	Total
Consensual Encounter	32	43	29	104	100.0%	0.440%
Under 18	0	4	0	4	3.8%	0.017%
18-29	17	13	7	37	35.6%	0.157%
30-39	6	15	9	30	28.8%	0.127%
40-49	6	6	5	17	16.3%	0.072%
50-59	3	4	6	13	12.5%	0.055%
60+	0	1	2	3	2.9%	0.013%
Mental Health Evaluation	0	2	2	4	100.0%	0.017%
Under 18	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.000%
18-29	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.000%
30-39	0	0	2	2	50.0%	0.008%
40-49	0	1	0	1	25.0%	0.004%
50-59	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.000%
60+	0	1	0	1	25.0%	0.004%
Probable Cause	746	643	660	2,049	100.0%	8.676%
Under 18	15	15	11	41	2.0%	0.174%
18-29	272	239	253	764	37.3%	3.235%
30-39	191	173	194	558	27.2%	2.363%
40-49	144	120	114	378	18.4%	1.601%
50-59	81	70	64	215	10.5%	0.910%
60+	43	26	24	93	4.5%	0.394%
Probation or Parole	28	29	24	81	100.0%	0.343%
Under 18	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.000%
18-29	18	16	17	51	63.0%	0.216%
30-39	6	7	4	17	21.0%	0.072%
40-49	1	4	2	7	8.6%	0.030%
50-59	3	0	0	3	3.7%	0.013%
60+	0	2	1	3	3.7%	0.013%
Reasonable Suspicion	181	217	164	562	100.0%	2.380%
Under 18	3	2	1	6	1.1%	0.025%
18-29	80	101	60	241	42.9%	1.020%
30-39	46	57	50	153	27.2%	0.648%
40-49	22	30	28	80	14.2%	0.339%
50-59	21	21	21	63	11.2%	0.267%
60+	9	6	4	19	3.4%	0.080%
Traffic Violation	7,049	7,245	6,522	20,816	100.0%	88.144%
Under 18	44	44	43	131	0.6%	0.555%
18-29	2,147	2,196	2,108	6,451	31.0%	27.316%
30-39	1,854	1,979	1,718	5,551	26.7%	23.505%
40-49	1,349	1,354	1,290	3,993	19.2%	16.908%
50-59	1,005	1,017	810	2,832	13.6%	11.992%
60+	650	655	553	1,858	8.9%	7.868%
TOTAL	8,036	8,179	7,401	23,616	-	100.000%

					% of	% of Grand
DESCRIPTION	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	Category	Total
Consensual Encounter	117	172	165	454	100.0%	5.92%
FEMALE	20	32	33	85	18.7%	1.11%
MALE	96	139	129	364	80.2%	4.74%
UNKNOWN	1	1	3	5	1.1%	0.07%
Mental Health Evaluation	53	70	65	188	100.0%	2.45%
FEMALE	9	14	11	34	18.1%	0.44%
MALE	42	56	54	152	80.9%	1.98%
UNKNOWN	2	0	0	2	1.1%	0.03%
Probable Cause	1,408	1,620	1,625	4,653	100.0%	60.63%
FEMALE	234	252	289	775	16.7%	10.10%
MALE	1,164	1,360	1,326	3,850	82.7%	50.16%
UNKNOWN	10	8	10	28	0.6%	0.36%
Probation or Parole	57	86	64	207	100.0%	2.70%
FEMALE	7	8	5	20	9.7%	0.26%
MALE	50	78	59	187	90.3%	2.44%
UNKNOWN	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.00%
Reasonable Suspicion	463	533	584	1,580	100.0%	20.59%
FEMALE	81	84	80	245	15.5%	3.19%
MALE	381	449	500	1,330	84.2%	17.33%
UNKNOWN	1	0	4	5	0.3%	0.07%
Traffic Violation	166	182	245	593	100.0%	7.73%
FEMALE	28	24	39	91	15.3%	1.19%
MALE	138	158	205	501	84.5%	6.53%
UNKNOWN	0	0	1	1	0.2%	0.01%
TOTAL	2,264	2,663	2,748	7,675	-	100.00%

SEC. 96A.3(d) REASONS FOR ENCOUNTERS by Gender;

SEC. 96A.3(d) By Gender cont.

REASONS by Gender for Self-Init	iated Traffic Stops					
July 1 - September 30, 2017					% of	% of Grand
DESCRIPTION	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	Total - Q3	Category	Total
Consensual Encounter	32	43	29	104	100.0%	0.440%
FEMALE	4	43 5	8	104	16.3%	0.072%
MALE	28	36	21	85	81.7%	0.360%
UNKNOWN	0	2	0	2	1.9%	0.008%
Mental Health Evaluation	0	2	2	4	1.5%	0.008%
FEMALE	0	1	0	1	25.0%	0.004%
MALE	0	1	2	3	75.0%	0.013%
UNKNOWN	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.000%
Probable Cause	746	643	660	2,049	100.0%	8.676%
FEMALE	140	94	135	369	18.0%	1.563%
MALE	604	549	519	1,672	81.6%	7.080%
UNKNOWN	2	0	6	8	0.4%	0.034%
		-	-	_		
Probation or Parole	28 4	29 2	24	81 8	100.0%	0.343%
FEMALE	24	27	2	73	9.9%	0.034%
MALE	0	0	0	0	90.1% 0.0%	0.309%
UNKNOWN		-	-	-		0.000%
Reasonable Suspicion	181	217	164	562	100.0%	2.380%
FEMALE	33	50	23	106	18.9%	0.449%
MALE	148	166	141	455	81.0%	1.927%
UNKNOWN	0	1	0	1	0.2%	0.004%
Traffic Violation	7,049	7,245	6,522	20,816	100.0%	88.144%
FEMALE	1,735	1,725	1,656	5,116	24.6%	21.663%
MALE	5,302	5,510	4,856	15,668	75.3%	66.345%
UNKNOWN	12	10	10	32	0.2%	0.136%
TOTAL	8,036	8,179	7,401	23,616	-	100.000%

SEC. 96A.3 (b) (1) – TOTAL USES OF FORCE

During the third quarter of 2017, the Department responded to 193,735 calls for service. Of those contacts, force was used in 297 incidents representing less than 1 percent (0.15%) of total contacts. Further, there were 622 uses of force reported by 366 officers against a total of 350 subjects. There were 5,526 arrests during the third quarter of 2017.

	2016	2017	% change
Qtr 1	952	802	-15.8%
Qtr 2	926	873	-5.7%
Qtr 3	916	622	-32.1%
YTD Total	2794	2297	-17.8%

Use of Force Year to Date Comparison – 2016 vs. 2017

Use of Force Third Quarter Comparison – 2016 vs. 2017

	2016	2017	% change
July	369	190	-48.5%
August	288	221	-23.3%
September	259	211	-18.5%
Q3 Total	916	622	-32.1%

San Francisco Police Officers Assaulted Third Quarter Comparison, 2016 vs. 2017

Officers Assaulted by Month								
	2016 2017 % chang							
July	61	14	-77%					
August	27	27	0%					
September	23	20	-13%					
Total	111	61	-45%					

SEC. 96A.3 (b) (2) USE OF FORCE RESULTING IN DEATH TO THE PERSON ON WHOM AN OFFICER USED FORCE;

Incident: Officer Involved Shooting resulting in death

Case #	Victim Name	Race/Sex	Date Time		Location
170-779-359	Murray, Damien	B/M	9/24/17	2:58 am	60 Salmon Street
Original Call:			Officers us	ing force	Total # of Uses of Force
Barricaded Sus	arricaded Suspect			2	2

Summary of Incident:

Officers from the Tactical Division responded to an armed, barricaded suspect holding his wife and children hostage. Hostage Negotiation Team members attempted to persuade the suspect to surrender. The incident escalated and officers conducted an emergency entry. The hostages were rescued and there were no other injuries.

This incident is being investigated by the San Francisco Police Department, the District Attorney's office, and the Department of Police Accountability.

SEC. 96A.3 (b) (3) USES OF FORCE BY RACE/ETHNICITY and GENDER OF SUBJECT

In the Third Quarter of 2017, 44 percent of the total uses of force were against Black Male subjects, 23 percent of the total uses of force were against White Males, and 16 percent of the total uses of force were against Hispanic Males.

Types of Force by Race/Ethnicity and Gender of Subject July - September 2017

Race & Gender	Pointing of Firearms	Physical Control	Strike by Object/Fist	OC (Pepper Spray)	Impact Weapon	ERIW	Firearm	Other*	Total Uses of Force	%
Asian Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Asian Male	13	11	3	0	0	0	0	0	27	4.3%
Black Female	27	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	48	7.7%
Black Male	199	46	16	2	4	0	2	3	272	43.7%
Hispanic Female	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	1.9%
Hispanic Male	62	27	10	0	2	0	0	0	101	16 .2 %
White Female	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.6%
White Male	52	68	14	1	2	3	0	0	140	22.5%
Unknown Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Unknown Male	11	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	17	2.7%
Unknown Race & Gender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0.2%
Total	372	182	48	3	8	3	2	4	622	100%
Percent	59.8%	29.3%	7.7%	0.5%	1.3%	0.5%	0.3%	0.6%	100%	

*Other includes Handcuffing and use of Spike Strips

Asian includes Asian and Pacific Islander. Unknown race/ethnicity or gender was not documented in report for various reasons (i.e. suspect fled and demographic information was not known)

Age Group	Q3 2016	Q3 2017	% change
Under 18	22	25	14%
18-29	168	138	-18%
30-39	87	90	3%
40-49	60	52	-13%
50-59	41	28	-32%
60+	18	11	-39%
Unknown	10	6	-40%
Total	406	350	-14%

SEC. 96A.3 (b) (3) Use of Force by Age of Subject, 2016 vs. 2017

Uses of Force by Race/Ethnicity and Gender of Officer, Third Quarter 2016 v 2017 White males make up 49% of officers using force during Q3 of 2017. Asian male officers make up 18% of the use of force incidents. This parallels the Department's Demographics.

Dava & Candar	Individu	ial Ofc. U	sing Force	Tota	Total Uses of Force			Dept. Demographic		
Race & Gender	Q3 2016	Q3 2017	% change	Q3 2016	Q3 2017	% change	Q3 2016	Q3 2017	% change	
Asian Female ***	9	8	-11%	13	11	-15%	43	49	14%	
Asian Male ***	81	66	-19%	183	104	-43%	429	462	8%	
Black Female	5	5	0%	7	6	-14%	41	46	12%	
Black Male	33	22	-33%	63	44	-30%	149	175	17%	
Hispanic Female	8	9	13%	14	12	-14%	54	70	30%	
Hispanic Male	66	49	-26%	124	87	-30%	277	302	9%	
White Female	1	16	1500%	47	32	-32%	177	171	-3%	
White Male	13	181	1292%	441	310	-30%	916	965	5%	
Other Female **	22	0	not cal	3	0	-100%	6	8	33%	
Other Male **	222	10	-95%	21	16	-24%	22	37	68%	
Total	460	366	-20%	916	622	-32%	2114	2285	8%	

Race/Ethnicity and Gender of Subject upon whom Force was used.

The number of subjects upon whom force was used is less than the total number of force reported as officers may use more than one type of force on a subject. Example; An officer may first point a firearm at a subject believed to be armed. Once the subject drops the weapon, the officer may then have to resort to physical force to effect the arrest of the subject.

Race & Gender	Num	ber of Su	ıbjects	Total Uses of Force			
Race & Genuer	Q3 2016	Q3 2017	% change	Q3 2016	Q3 2017	% change	
Asian Female	7	0	-100%	12	0	-100%	
Asian Male	27	15	-44%	48	27	-44%	
Black Female	27	28	4%	51	48	-6%	
Black Male	158	155	-2%	397	272	-31%	
Hispanic Female	14	7	-50%	32	12	-63%	
Hispanic Male	77	62	-19%	138	101	-27%	
White Female	18	4	-78%	34	4	-88%	
White Male	71	67	-6%	182	140	-23%	
Unknown Female	1	0	-100%	2	0	-100%	
Unknown Male	5	11	120%	15	17	13%	
Unknown Race & Gender	1	1	0%	5	1	-80%	
Total	406	350	-14%	916	622	-32%	

Uses of Force Incidents by Number of Subjects Involved, Third Quarter 2016 vs. 2017

In this quarter, most uses of force involved only one subject. However, in incidents where officers anticipate a resistive subject, they will request assistance or wait for additional officers to arrive on scene before attempting to take the subject into custody.

	Number of Incidents		
Number of			
Subjects Involved	Q3 2016	Q3 2017	% Change
1	252	256	1.6%
2	41	33	-19.5%
3	13	3	-76.9%
4	6	3	-50.0%
5	2	1	-50.0%
6	1	0	-100.0%
7	2	0	-100.0%
8	0	1	notcalc
9	0	0	notcalc
10	1	0	-100.0%
Total	318	297	-6.6%

	Number o	Number of Incidents	
Number of			
Officers Involved	Q3 2016	Q3 2017	% Change
1	149	156	4.7%
2	101	97	-4.0%
3	32	20	-37.5%
4	13	16	23.1%
5	7	5	-28.6%
6	8	2	-75.0%
7	3	0	-100.0%
8	3	0	-100.0%
10	0	1	notcalc
32	1	0	-100.0%
34	1	0	-100.0%
Total	318	297	-6.6%

Uses of Force Incidents by Number of Officers Involved, Third Quarter 2016 vs. 2017

Types of Force by Call Type, Third Quarter 2017

To further evaluate why officers use force, the Department collected data on the type of call for service to which an officer was responding wherein force was used.

Type of Call	Pointing of Firearms	Physical Control	Strike by Object/Fist	OC (Pepper Spray)	Impact Weapon	ERIW	Firearm	Other*	Total	
Part I Violent	92	45	14	1	4	0	2	0	158	25.4%
Part I Property	156	20	9	1	0	0	0	3	189	30.4%
Suspicious Person (311/811/601/603/646/916/917)	18	33	6	0	0	0	0	1	58	9.3%
Mental Health Related (5150/800/801)	7	31	7	0	2	0	0	0	47	7.6%
Search Warrant/Warrant Arrest	27	9	3	0	1	0	0	0	40	6.4%
Person with a Gun (221)	35	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	39	6.3%
Person with a Knife (219)	16	3	1	0	0	3	0	0	23	3.7%
Traffic-Related	13	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	15	2.4%
Terrorist Threats (650)	2	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	1.6%
Restraining Order Violation	0	5	2	1	0	0	0	0	8	1.3%
Aided Case (520)	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1.0%
Alarm/Check on well-being (100/910)	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0.8%
Vandalism (594/595)	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.6%
Death Case (802)	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.6%
Weapon, Carrying	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.5%
Citizen Holding a Prisoner (405)	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.5%
Narcotics Arrest	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.3%
Homeless Related Call (915/919)	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.3%
Field Interview (909)	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.3%
Disturbance Calls (415/417)	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0.3%
Person yelling for help (918)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.2%
Passing Call (903)	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.2%
Total	372	182	48	3	8	3	2	4	622	100%

Uses of Force by Reason, Third Quarter 2017

Force is used most often to effect a lawful arrest.

Reason for Use of Force	Q3 2016	Q3 2017	% Change
To effect a lawful arrest, detention, or search, or to prevent escape	605	557	-8%
To gain compliance with a lawful order	206	23	-89%
In defense of others or in self-defense	31	26	-16%
To prevent a person from injuring himself/herself, when the person also poses an imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury to another person or officer	74	16	-78%
To prevent the commission of a public offense	0	0	not calc.
Total	916	622	-32%

SEC. 96A.3(c) (1) TOTAL ARRESTS – Third Quarter Comparison 2016 v 2017

It is important to note that arrests made by SFPD members at San Francisco International Airport are investigated by, and reported as part of San Mateo County data, and are therefore not included in the City totals. Airport Arrest Data is provided on page 38 of this summary and pages 194 through 198 of the attached report.

Arrests made outside San Francisco are a result of comprehensive investigations of crimes originating in San Francisco. For a detailed listing of locations see page 203 of the attached report.

District	Q3 2016	Q3 2017	% change
Co. A - Central	642	697	9%
Co. B - Southern	741	689	-7%
Co. C - Bayview	470	527	12%
Co. D - Mission	834	955	15%
Co. E - Northern	509	478	-6%
Co. F - Park	196	202	3%
Co. G - Richmond	181	257	42%
Co. H - Ingleside	292	410	40%
Co. I - Taraval	281	305	9%
Co. J - Tenderloin	947	928	-2%
Airport	NA	91	NA
Outside SF	45	78	73%
Total	5138	5526	8%

Race and Gender	Q3 2016	Q3 2017	% change
Asian Female	60	60	0%
Asian Male	286	233	-19%
Asian Unknown	0	0	not <u>cal</u>
Black Female	393	499	27%
Black Male	1642	1672	2%
Black Unknown	1	5	400%
Hispanic Female	183	150	-18%
Hispanic Male	843	1038	23%
Hispanic Unknown	0	1	not cal
White Female	300	320	7%
White Male	1249	1341	7%
White Unknown	3	4	33%
Unknown Female	26	30	15%
Unknown Male	130	155	19%
Unknown Race & Gender	22	18	-18%
Total	5138	5526	8%

SEC. 96A.3(c) (2) – TOTAL ARRESTS BY RACE/ETHNICITY and GENDER.

Notes: Unknown indicates data not provided in incident report. Asian includes Asian and Pacific Islander

SEC. 96A.3(c) (2) – ARRESTS BY AGE.

Age	Q3 2016	Q3 2017	% change
Under 18	169	242	43%
18-29	1901	1910	0%
30-39	1377	1683	22%
40-49	871	864	-1%
50-59	616	586	-5%
60+	181	214	18%
Unknown	23	27	17%
Total	5138	5526	8%

Note: Unknown indicates data not provided in incident report

SEC. 96A.3(c) (1) ARRESTS AT SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

Race and Gender	Total	%
Asian Female	2	2.2%
Asian Male	12	13.2%
Asian Unknown	0	0.0%
Black Female	10	11.0%
Black Male	16	17.6%
Black Unknown	0	0.0%
Hispanic Female	1	1.1%
Hispanic Male	3	3.3%
Hispanic Unknown	0	0.0%
White Female	6	6.6%
White Male	23	25.3%
White Unknown	0	0.0%
Unknown Female	3	3.3%
Unknown Male	15	16.5%
Unknown Race & Gender	0	0.0%
Total	91	100%

Airport Arrests by Race/Ethnicity and Gender, Third Quarter 2017

Airport Arrests by Age, Third Quarter 2017

Age	Total	%
Under 18	0	0%
18-29	29	32%
30-39	19	21%
40-49	21	23%
50-59	10	11%
60+	12	13%
Unknown	0	0%
Total	91	100%

SEC. 96A.3 (f) – DEPARTMENT OF POLICE ACCOUNTABILITY (DPA)

The Department is required to obtain information from the Department of Police Accountability (DPA), formerly the Office of Citizens Complaints, relating to the total number of complaints received during the reporting period that it characterizes as allegations of bias based on race or ethnicity, gender, or gender identity. The Department also is required to include in its report the total number of complaints DPA closed during the reporting period that were characterized as allegations of bias based on race or ethnicity, gender, or gender identity, as well as the total number of each type of disposition for such complaints. These closed cases may include complaints made in previous quarters.

Allegations of Bias based on race or ethnicity, gender, or Gender Identity received and closed by the Department of Police Accountability (formerly the Office of Citizen Complaints).

Cases received involving claims of racial and/or gender bias	Q3 Total
Racial Bias	5
Gender Bias	1
Both Racial and Gender Bias	1
Total	7

10 Officers were named in those 7 cases.

DPA received 111 cases for the quarter, including above.

The total number of dispositions for each of the allegations of bias based on race or ethnicity, gender or gender identity.

Closures of cases involving claims of racial and/or gender bias	Q3 Total
Racial Bias	27
Gender Bias	0
Both Racial and Gender Bias	1
Total	28

50 Officers were named in those 28 cases.

Dispositions of the cases	Q3 Total
Sustained	5
Sustained bias-related allegation	0
Closed	21
Mediated	2

Closure reasons: Unfounded, Proper Conduct, Not sustained, No Finding, and No Finding Withdrawn.

DPA closed a total of 204 cases for the quarter, including above.

Source: Department of Police Accountability.

SFPD ADDED SECTION: BIAS-RELATED COMPLAINTS RECEIVED BY SFPD, AND INVESTIGATED BY DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

As part of the Department's commitment to transparency, the Department also will report on all bias-related complaints received by the Department and forwarded to the Department of Human Resources (DHR) for investigation. Closed cases may include complaints received in previous quarters.

Racial Bias2Gender Bias2Total4	Gender Bias2Both Racial and Gender Bias1Total34 employees were named in those 3 cases.Q3 TotaClosures of cases involving claims of racial and/or gender biasQ3 TotaRacial Bias2Gender Bias2Gender Bias2Gender Bias2Gender Bias2Closure reasons:Q3 Tota(4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence(4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Gender Bias2Both Racial and Gender Bias1Total34 employees were named in those 3 cases.Q3 TotaClosures of cases involving claims of racial and/or gender biasQ3 TotaRacial Bias2Gender Bias2Gender Bias2Image: Closure control of the casesQ3 TotaDispositions of the casesQ3 TotaSustained0Closure reasons:4	Gender Bias2Both Racial and Gender Bias1Total34 employees were named in those 3 cases.Q3 TotalClosures of cases involving claims of racial and/or gender biasQ3 TotalRacial Bias2Gender Bias2Gender Bias2Gender Bias2Closure reasons:Q3 Total(4) Admin Closure, Insufficient EvidenceValue of the cases	Cases received involving claims of racial and/or gender bias	Q3 Tota
Both Racial and Gender Bias1Total34 employees were named in those 3 cases.Q3 TotalClosures of cases involving claims of racial and/or gender biasQ3 TotaRacial Bias2Gender Bias2Gender Bias2Total4Dispositions of the casesQ3 TotaSustained Closed0Closure reasons:4	Both Racial and Gender Bias 1 Total 3 4 employees were named in those 3 cases. Q3 Total Closures of cases involving claims of racial and/or gender bias Q3 Total Racial Bias 2 Gender Bias 2 Gender Bias 2 Dispositions of the cases Q3 Tota Sustained 0 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Both Racial and Gender Bias 1 Total 3 4 employees were named in those 3 cases. Q3 Tota Closures of cases involving claims of racial and/or gender bias Q3 Tota Racial Bias 2 Gender Bias 2 Total 4 Dispositions of the cases Q3 Tota Sustained 0 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Both Racial and Gender Bias1Total34 employees were named in those 3 cases.Q3 TotalClosures of cases involving claims of racial and/or gender biasQ3 TotalClosures of cases involving claims of racial and/or gender biasQ3 TotalClosure Bias2Gender Bias2Compositions of the casesQ3 TotalObispositions of the casesQ3 TotalClosure reasons:(4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Racial Bias	0
Total34 employees were named in those 3 cases.Q3 TotalClosures of cases involving claims of racial and/or gender bias Racial Bias 2 Gender BiasQ3 TotaClosure Bias2Total4Dispositions of the casesQ3 TotaSustained Closed0Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Total34 employees were named in those 3 cases.Q3 TotalClosures of cases involving claims of racial and/or gender bias Racial Bias 2 Gender BiasQ3 TotaClosure Bias2Total4Dispositions of the casesQ3 TotaSustained Closed0Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Total34 employees were named in those 3 cases.Q3 TotalClosures of cases involving claims of racial and/or gender bias Racial Bias 2 Gender BiasQ3 TotaClosure Bias2Total4Dispositions of the casesQ3 TotaSustained Closed0Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Total34 employees were named in those 3 cases.Q3 TotalClosures of cases involving claims of racial and/or gender bias Racial Bias 2 Gender BiasQ3 TotaClosure Bias2Total4Dispositions of the casesQ3 TotaSustained Closed0Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Gender Bias	2
4 employees were named in those 3 cases. Q3 Total Closures of cases involving claims of racial and/or gender bias Q3 Tota Racial Bias 2 Gender Bias 2 Total 4 Dispositions of the cases Q3 Tota Sustained 0 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	4 employees were named in those 3 cases. Q3 Total Closures of cases involving claims of racial and/or gender bias Q3 Tota Racial Bias 2 Gender Bias 2 Total 4 Dispositions of the cases Q3 Tota Sustained 0 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	4 employees were named in those 3 cases. Q3 Total Closures of cases involving claims of racial and/or gender bias Q3 Tota Racial Bias 2 Gender Bias 2 Total 4 Dispositions of the cases Q3 Tota Sustained 0 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	4 employees were named in those 3 cases. Q3 Total Closures of cases involving claims of racial and/or gender bias Q3 Tota Racial Bias 2 Gender Bias 2 Total 4 Dispositions of the cases Q3 Tota Sustained 0 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Both Racial and Gender Bias	1
Closures of cases involving claims of racial and/or gender bias Q3 Total Racial Bias 2 Gender Bias 2 Total 4 Dispositions of the cases Q3 Tota 0 Closure reasons: 4 (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence 5	Closures of cases involving claims of racial and/or gender bias Q3 Total Racial Bias 2 Gender Bias 2 Total 4 Obspositions of the cases Q3 Tota 0 Closure reasons: 4 (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence 5	Closures of cases involving claims of racial and/or gender bias Q3 Total Racial Bias 2 Gender Bias 2 Total 4 Obspositions of the cases Q3 Tota 0 Closure reasons: 4 (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence 5	Closures of cases involving claims of racial and/or gender bias Q3 Total Racial Bias 2 Gender Bias 2 Total 4 Dispositions of the cases Q3 Tota 0 Closure reasons: 4 (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence 5	Total	3
Racial Bias 2 Gender Bias 2 Total 4 Dispositions of the cases Q3 Tota Sustained 0 Closed 4 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Racial Bias 2 Gender Bias 2 Total 4 Dispositions of the cases Q3 Tota Sustained 0 Closed 4 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Racial Bias 2 Gender Bias 2 Total 4 Dispositions of the cases Q3 Tota Sustained 0 Closed 4 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Racial Bias 2 Gender Bias 2 Total 4 Dispositions of the cases Q3 Tota Sustained 0 Closed 4 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	4 employees were named in those 3 cases.	
Gender Bias 2 Total 4 Dispositions of the cases Q3 Tota Sustained 0 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Gender Bias 2 Total 4 Dispositions of the cases Q3 Tota Sustained 0 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Gender Bias 2 Total 4 Dispositions of the cases Q3 Tota Sustained 0 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Gender Bias 2 Total 4 Dispositions of the cases Q3 Tota Sustained 0 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Closures of cases involving claims of racial and/or gender bias	Q3 Tota
Total4Dispositions of the casesQ3 TotaSustained0Closed4Closure reasons:(4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Total4Dispositions of the casesQ3 TotaSustained0Closed4Closure reasons:(4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Total4Dispositions of the casesQ3 TotaSustained0Closed4Closure reasons:(4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Total4Dispositions of the casesQ3 TotaSustained0Closed4Closure reasons:(4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence		2
Dispositions of the cases Q3 Tota Sustained 0 Closed 4 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Dispositions of the cases Q3 Tota Sustained 0 Closed 4 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Dispositions of the cases Q3 Tota Sustained 0 Closed 4 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Dispositions of the cases Q3 Tota Sustained 0 Closed 4 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence		2
Sustained 0 Closed 4 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Sustained 0 Closed 4 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Sustained 0 Closed 4 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Sustained 0 Closed 4 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Total	4
Sustained 0 Closed 4 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Sustained 0 Closed 4 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Sustained 0 Closed 4 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Sustained 0 Closed 4 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence		
Closed 4 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Closed 4 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Closed 4 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Closed 4 Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	•	
Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	Closure reasons: (4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence		
(4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	(4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	(4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	(4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence		4
Source: SFPD Risk Management EEO Quarterly Report	Source: SFPD Risk Management EEO Quarterly Report	Source: SFPD Risk Management EEO Quarterly Report	Source: SFPD Risk Management EEO Quarterly Report	(4) Admin Closure, Insufficient Evidence	
Source: SFPD Risk Management EEO Quarterly Report	Source: SFPD Risk Management EEO Quarterly Report	Source: SFPD Risk Management EEO Quarterly Report	Source: SFPD Risk Management EEO Quarterly Report		
Source. SPI D Risk Management EEO Quarterly Report	Source. SPT D Risk Management EEO Quarteriy Report	Source. SPT D Risk Management EEO Quarteriy Report	Source. SPT D Risk Management EEO Quarteriy Report	Source: SEPD Rick Management EEO Quarterly Report	
				Source. SFFD Risk Management EEO Quarterly Report	

Please see the Chapter 96A Report for additional charts and graphs.